

SD-TEAM Land Use & Development Workgroup  
05/10/05 Conference Call notes

Present: Judy Beck, John Booser, John Chell, Danielle Green, Michael Klepinger, Lyn Luttner, Victoria Pebbles, Bonnie Prochaska, Kevin Smith, Ron Smedley, Ron Thomas, Jim Thorne, Dahlia Varanka.

VP gives recap—just before Traverse City:

- List of draft recommendations included 49 items (1/6<sup>th</sup> of 1 team)
- Whole team came up with 5 over-arching recs. Then went back to work bundling 49 into common themes and framework was identified.
- Last week's call resulted in subset included in this morning's documents; really under the gun to get to Exec. Cmte. by May 27 (goal is 1 page).
- **Objective today – consensus on substance & format and to think about how they fit into the whole.**
  - Real bottom line – Exec Cmte makes final report in Dec., no more than 50 pages—making sure none of the work done by the teams is lost.
  - How to make best case knowing of continued honing down.
  - How to focus recs.
- General Comments:
- Why recs are important to Great Lakes
  - 10,000 miles of coastline
  - inventory of brownfields
  - Clean Water Act Fund; Brownfields funding
- Strategies – overall philosophical discussion – Traverse City green certification programs. Federal Land Use not going to happen; non-starter; concerned about call for state & fed legislation; concept to review fed programs, get them aligned; may never get state & fed to sign off.
- “Model” state legislations, (MN, PA, WI) & encourage state adoption.
- Empower with incentives—local decision
- 3 yr. demonstration like guidelines & incentives, sense of freedom at local level. For those who meet the standards they get a bonus of federal funding.
- Careful with wording - - incentive to promote. VP tracking changes suggested.
- Kevin Smith will send e-mail to RT & VP summarizing comments.
- Must keep to 1 page? YES. How to get to 1 page as a group? Format? Time frame, cost benefits.
- One concern (Jan) clear who is doing what.
- Implementing – federal agencies look at this as opportunity to align their work; some federal agencies will want to take this doc to congress to get money too. Although greater research is needed (Proof).
- Uniform state legislation; much like fair labor compliance; grant work requires compliance—include language in grant procedures.
- This is important—so not to have to spend \$ to clean up. Want it to be well-received. Home-rule throughout Great Lakes.

- Recs about spending money in a better way. Identify who? States lead.
- Format issues – who is going to be lead? State has granting. Mike will develop 5-6 lines to help answer “who is responsible” and e-mail to SD team.
- Identifying top 1, 2 or 3 things land use at local levels. What can Feds do? Re: President’s order.
- List 5 things that agency should look at.
- For the Great Lakes, these are things we want to look at.
- LEED program – less developing; more adapting; more adopting.

3-line goal statement, then 2-3 performance based objectives; out of that careful to keep tight action language. Reformat each of the 5 performance based objectives. Target date, timeline. Cost of reprogramming. Saleable and reflecting group consensus.

Mike needs 2 days; to Jan by week’s end. Tuesday, 5/17, next call. RT & VP on with Jan 5/18, 2pm call. JB will send next steps. Who will come up with standards? JB doing research. Which body? Great Lakes or Exec. Cmte. of collaboration? “building capacity” really important. Tremendous amount of free collection, collaboration. LEEDS type initiative is good. Proven track record. Involves education, outreach.

VP sending version 2; Mike’s comments by Wed., Thurs.

/stk 05/10/05