

Land Use and Development Workgroup

March 22, 2005, 12:30-1:40 p.m.

Conference Call

Present: Katie Dixon, Danielle Green, Charlene Howell, Vasile Jurca, Michael Klepinger, Lyn Luttner, Jason Navota, Victoria Pebbles, Bonnie Prochaska, John Chell, Ronald Thomas, Jim Thorne, Dahlia Varanka, Karen Vigmostad

- **Reports:**

Victoria for SD Team reports that after Jan Miller e-mail, nothing new to report other than full team presence desired in Traverse City April 28, 29. PB Team – nothing to report. RT for Indicators data conference call, relevant good work looking at data and research, accessibility of technical data, looking back to this group for needs/expectations. Interest in open data sharing programs. Habitat-species group – area of overlap re: coastal shore. Another conference call coming up; very far in front of that group. VJ reports that Coastal help team has leader in same office and seems to be slightly ahead of others. LL for AOC team, cruising websites; 4 pages of links to resources, call Dave Kagill.

- Issue Summary, strategy team output & recommendations, proposal technical framework; deciding on criteria to prioritize before prioritizing to select objective way-largest impact; reaching most critical area. Relate to guidance developed by Jan Miller? Hopefully framework can be tweaked and used across the board. No clear indication how to resolve. To insure fairness, all agree to same criteria; or adjust criteria together. Scientific and technical
- Section 1 – Draft discussion; posted draft last Friday. Nothing on discussion forum yet. Encourage use of that – conversational – not crowding in boxes. Not one comment has come back; not sure if seen it or loved it. Some need technical assistance.
- John Chell looked at it. Use descriptions not unique. Uniquely driven by Great Lakes theme. Industrial legacy, brownfields; VP will add this. Disintegrated steel industry; copper covering? Addressing sprawl? Rustbelt legacy, Menomee, Rough River Plant in Detroit.
- Done a great job. Transportation; sprawl, congestion & freight movement. Chicago look at water-borne; Intermodal; highway transit systems, highways huge on location to growth. Storm water, stewardship, fresh water. Vs. Chesapeake & biological. Governance models, success stories. Summarize various state level growth management state planning initiatives. What would we want to recommend? Incentives? Federal funding.
- Our charge: about important federal legislation; to sustain; over laps with habitat group?
- Big opp, next surface transportation funding act; reauthorization. Ice T; regulations & guidelines drafted. No agreement; rolled over '92 regs & guidelines.
- Contact; SD practices; timely; supported by transportation act. Difficult but useful to grant—would it be great?
- Existing tools; extreme green makeover. Drafting models; what are obstacles? Local, state & federal level.
- Remember, 7-9 p. document. Direct result; you are not coordinating. Homeland security used as example.
- Agencies of people who are looking at final draft. Under your control. Danielle revising existing (grant) background document, appendix.

- If some can use list serve as browser; problem or supported legislation. Annotate bullet point list in the doc. Use discussion forum for this.
- Modifying, aligning grants & programs
- Coastal grant program- not as big as Ice T; up for appropriation to get it funded. Add in with recommendations.
- Capacity building – Oregon program (JC & VP talk off-line) Washington state, too. Mike, John, Ron & Karen too off-line conversation.
- Use on-line discussion forum & list serve; Duluth document – John work with Ron on that. Provide feedback; comments by Friday (4/1/05); VP will integrate; make comments, repost. Hopefully section 2 by next call. Ron will get his draft up by week's end. 3rd part is work plan: recommendations, funding, legislation. Workgroup documents, too.

04/04/05

/stk

H:\Meeting Notes\LU&DWorkgroup03-22RD.doc